

ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆದುದರಿಂದ ಎರಡು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ವೈಟೇಜ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ಅನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗಬಹುದು. ಆದುದರಿಂದ, ಮೂರು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಮವಾಗಿ ಅಂಕಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡಿಸಲಾಗುವುದೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಸುಬ್ಬಯ್ಯ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಇಂಗ್ಲಿಷ್ ಮತ್ತು ತಮಿಳು ಮಾದ್ಯಮದವರಿಗೆ Additional Kannada is non examination subject. Even if they fail it does not matter in that particular subject. This is one aspect of it.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್.ಆರ್. ಬೊಮ್ಮಾಯಿ.—ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಸುಬ್ಬಯ್ಯ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಶಾಮಣ್ಣೇವ್.—ಇಂಥಾ ಎರಡು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳು ನನ್ನ ಬಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಇವೆ. ಬಂಗಳೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ವಿಳನೇ ತರಗತಿ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳು ಇವು. ಒಂದು ಕೇಂದ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಎರಡು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ, ನನ್ನ ಬಳಿಯಿರುವ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆ ಪ್ರಾಜೆಕ್ಟ್ ಸೈನ್ಸ್‌ಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟದ್ದು. ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಏನು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು, ಪ್ರತಿ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೂ ಹದಿನಾರು ಅಂಕಗಳನ್ನು ಇಟ್ಟು ಪಾಲ್ಕು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಉತ್ತರ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಏಳು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು, ಪ್ರತಿ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೂ ಹದಿನಾರು ಅಂಕಗಳನ್ನು ಇಟ್ಟು, ಆರು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಉತ್ತರ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ ಎಂದು ಇದೆ. ಇಂಥಾ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಗಳು ವಿಳನೇ ತರಗತಿ ವಿವಿಧಾಂಗಗಳಿಗೆ ಆದರೆ, ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಇವನ್ನು ಹೇಗೆ ಅರ್ಥಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತವೆ? ಇಂಥಾ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಗಳು ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಅಗುತ್ತಿರುವುದರಿಂದ, ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟವರ ಮೇಲೆ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ನನ್ನ ಬಳಿಯಿರುವ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅವಗಾಹೆ ನೆಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಸುಬ್ಬಯ್ಯ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಿ, ನಾನು ಅದನ್ನು ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

SRI M. C. NANAIAH.—Mr. Speaker, Sir, the leader of the opposition Mr. Bommai has raised a very pertinent question regarding setting of question papers for the 7th standard examination and the errors committed by the Department with regard to syllabus. It is very serious question. The erring teacher and the staff should be punished.....

MR. SPEAKER.—I wanted to know what is it that the member wants to speak. I have to go according to the Agenda.

Now, papers to be laid on the table.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

SRI AZEEZ SAH (Minister for Industries and Commerce).—Sir, on behalf of Sri B. Basavalingappa, Minister for Revenue, I beg to lay;

Notification No. RD 237 EST 77, dated 18th March, 1978;

Remission of Stamp duties and Registration Fees in respect of mortgage deed to be executed by Mysore Power Corporation in favour of LIC of India;

Under Sub-section (2) of Section 9 of the Karnataka Stamp Act, 1957.

SECRETARY.—Mr. Speaker Sir, I beg to lay on the table of the House, the Public Wakfs (Extension of Limitation) (Karnataka Amendment) Bill, 1978 as passed by the Legislative Council.

MR. SPEAKER.—The papers are laid on the Table of the House. **

Mysore Porcelains Ltd. (Acquisition of Shares) Bill, 1978

Motion to Consider

Debate Continued

†SRI K. PUTTASWAMY.—Mr. Speaker, Sir, I must first of all thank the Hon. Speaker for showing lot of indulgence to me while speaking on this Bill. Sir, yesterday while I was speaking I have quoted some wrong figures. I would like to correct them I said the interest amount due to Government was Rs. 69,93,469. Interest on interest was not quoted I quoted 14,98,000. If the interest is calculated on the lending rates of bank, it would be 21 lakhs. If it is calculated at 7 per cent. it would be 15 lakhs. So, I was only yesterday making out a point that there has been so much of indirect help. Sir, yesterday I was telling how the factory was built from the year 1931 to 1977, that is for a period of 45 years. So, I condemn the unwise attitude of the Government in handing over the factory to BHEL. Sir, I learn that it was handed over during September 1976 and that the shares otherwise held by Government were sold to BHEL during September or October 1976 and the management was also handed over by the Government during that period. Since then Government have no interest in it. I doubt whether the State Government is the proper authority to come forward with the legislation of calculating the interest after handing it over to the Government of India. Sir, during the year 1976 itself it has become Government of India concern. If the Government of India wanted to calculate the other shares of private individuals namely NGK Company and also small per cent of shares held by certain other member. I think the proper authorities should have been the Government of India. This is the doubt I entertain. I wish the Government would take the trouble to clarify this important point. Sir, this did not come suddenly. It came sometime during April 1976 I have got a paper cutting dated 2nd March 1976. Where the Industries Minister had made it clear that he is not selling any of the Government Factories.

The Industries Minister has made the statement in the Porcelain Factory while addressing the workers. That means the Government had given an assurance that they would not be selling away their

** Bill appended as Annexure to this volume.